



**Tobias Müller-Prothmann**

# **Leveraging Knowledge Communication for Innovation**

**Framework, Methods and Applications  
of Social Network Analysis  
in Research and Development**



# Contents

<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Goal	1
1.2 Method	2
1.3 Overview	5
<b>2 Perceptions of Knowledge, Knowledge Society and Knowledge Management</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 The Emerging Knowledge Society	9
2.1.1 Basic Descriptions and Characteristics of the Knowledge Society	9
2.1.2 Knowledge Society and the Organization	13
2.2 Perspectives, Conceptions and Social Construction of Knowledge	15
2.2.1 Preliminary Remarks	15
2.2.2 Epistemology of Knowledge Sociology	15
2.2.3 Data—Information—Knowledge: Knowledge as Capacity for Action	16
2.2.4 Knowledge as a Symbol	18
2.2.5 Social Construction of Knowledge	19
2.2.6 A Network Model of Knowledge	21
2.2.7 Expertise and Specialized Knowledge	22
2.2.8 The Production of Innovative Knowledge	23
2.2.9 Knowledge and Habitus <i>or</i> : Practical Knowledge	23
2.2.10 Knowledge as an Empirical Problem	25
2.2.11 The Framework of a Pragmatic Conception of Knowledge	26
2.2.12 Knowledge and its Management?	27
2.3 Constitutional Conditions of Knowledge Management and its Institutionalization	30
2.3.1 Sociological Institutional Analysis and Knowledge Management	30
2.3.2 The Principle Idea of Knowledge Management: Knowledge as a Competitive Force	32
2.3.3 Knowledge Management and its Frame of Reference: Flourishing Knowledge Creation Environments	33
2.3.4 Knowledge Management and Sanctioning Mechanisms: In Search of Measuring Knowledge Assets	33
2.3.5 Consequences: Technology Orientation and Capitalization of Knowledge	34
2.3.6 Competition between Principles and other Interdependencies: The Rise of Multiple Conflicts	35
2.3.7 Current State and Future Developments of the Institutionalization of Knowledge Management: Summary and Outlook	37
2.4 Today's Knowledge Management Practices and Future Perspectives – Expert Views	38
2.4.1 About the Expert Survey	38
2.4.2 Central Findings	41
2.4.3 Recent Advances of Knowledge Management	43

2.4.4	Today's and Tomorrow's Challenges of Knowledge Management . . . . .	45
2.4.5	Methods, Measures, and Instruments of Knowledge Management . . . . .	47
2.5	Communication and Management of Knowledge . . . . .	50
2.5.1	About Conceptual Approaches to Knowledge Management . . . . .	50
2.5.2	Components and Practices of Knowledge Management . . . . .	50
2.5.3	The Conceptual Role of Information and Communication Technologies in Knowledge Communication and Management: Limits and Potentials . . . . .	54
2.5.4	Organizational Knowledge Communication and Knowledge Transfer as the Fo- cal Point of Knowledge Management . . . . .	57
2.5.5	Networks as Institutionalized Intermediaries of Knowledge Communication . . . . .	60
<b>3</b>	<b>Communities and Social Networks in Organizational Knowledge Communication</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	Organizational Knowledge Communication . . . . .	63
3.1.1	About Theories of Organizational Communication . . . . .	63
3.1.2	Communication of Knowledge in Organizations . . . . .	64
3.1.3	Structural Theories of Organizations and Communication . . . . .	65
3.1.4	About the Concepts of Formal and Informal Organization . . . . .	67
3.1.5	Informal Knowledge Communication and the Role of Communities and Social Networks . . . . .	69
3.2	The Role of Communities for Knowledge Communication – Expert Views . . . . .	71
3.2.1	Perceptions of Knowledge Communities . . . . .	71
3.2.2	General Importance and Role of Knowledge Communities . . . . .	72
3.2.3	The Importance and Role of Knowledge Communities in R & D and Innovative Knowledge Creation . . . . .	73
3.3	Sociological Perspectives and Limits of the Community Concept – “Classics” revisited	75
3.3.1	About Perceptions and Conceptualizations of Communities . . . . .	75
3.3.2	“Gemeinschaft und Gesellschaft” (Community and Society) . . . . .	76
3.3.3	The Social Group . . . . .	79
3.3.4	Limits of the Community Concept . . . . .	83
3.4	Knowledge Communities, Communities of Practice, Knowledge Networks – Expert Views . . . . .	85
3.4.1	Background . . . . .	85
3.4.2	An Expert View . . . . .	88
3.4.3	Similarities and Differences . . . . .	89
3.4.4	Conclusions . . . . .	92
3.5	Social Network Perspective and Knowledge . . . . .	94
3.5.1	Knowledge Community and Its Critiques . . . . .	94
3.5.2	Network Definitions and Social Network Perspectives . . . . .	95
3.5.3	Social Networks and Social Capital . . . . .	98
3.5.4	The Social Capital of Knowledge . . . . .	100
3.5.5	Definition and Concept of Knowledge Networks . . . . .	100
<b>4</b>	<b>Social Networks and the Generation of Innovations</b>	<b>103</b>
4.1	The Generation of Innovations in the Knowledge Society . . . . .	103
4.1.1	The Generation of Innovation and R & D . . . . .	103
4.1.2	Innovation and Networks . . . . .	106
4.1.3	Entrepreneurs as the Drivers of Innovation . . . . .	108
4.2	Knowledge Management in R & D – Expert Views . . . . .	109
4.2.1	Role and Impact . . . . .	109
4.2.2	Methods, Measures and Instruments . . . . .	110
4.2.3	Key People . . . . .	112

4.2.4	Personal Networks and Communities in R & D	113
4.3	Networks and Knowledge Communication in R & D Environments	114
4.3.1	R & D Management: Knowledge and the Organization	114
4.3.2	R & D Management: Knowledge and Networks	116
4.3.3	Internal and External R & D Networks	120
4.3.4	R & D Networks and the Dimension of Space	123
4.3.5	Social Networks in R & D Environments	124
4.3.6	Communication and Management of Knowledge in R & D Networks	126
4.4	Institutionalization of Knowledge Transfer in R & D: Networks as Intermediaries	129
4.4.1	Networks as a Third Form of Organization Beyond Market and Hierarchy	129
4.4.2	Institutionalized Intermediation through Social Networks in R & D	130
4.4.3	Advantages and Examples of Institutionalized Innovation Networks	132
4.5	Entrepreneurial Social Networks	135
4.5.1	Networking and the Entrepreneurial Person	135
4.5.2	The Entrepreneurial Capital of Structural Holes	137
4.6	Limits of the Network Concept	139
4.6.1	Big Mother: The Metaphor of Social Net(work)s and its Critiques	139
4.6.2	Limits of Social Network Analysis as a Theoretical Framework	140
4.6.3	Limits of Social Network Analysis as an Empirical Method	141
<b>5</b>	<b>Social Network Analysis as a Knowledge Management Tool</b>	<b>145</b>
5.1	Introduction to Social Network Analysis	145
5.1.1	About the Approach of Network Analysis	145
5.1.2	Social Network Analysis as a Methodical Instrument	145
5.1.3	Social Networks and Knowledge Networks: Definitions and Basic Properties	147
5.1.4	Basic Network Structures	150
5.2	Social Network Analysis as a Knowledge Management Tool – Method Development	153
5.2.1	Aims of Application	153
5.2.2	Fields of Application	155
5.2.3	Multi-level Social Network Analysis	157
5.3	Application of Social Network Analysis as a Knowledge Management Tool – Basic Steps	158
5.3.1	Basic Steps and Conditions of Application	158
5.3.2	Creation of Personal Involvement and Organizational Openness	159
5.3.3	Definition of the Analytical Scope	162
5.3.4	Conceptualization of the Survey Methodology	163
5.3.5	Identification of Network Members	165
5.3.6	Strategies to Gain Commitment: Other Involved Parties	166
5.3.7	Collection of Data	170
5.3.8	Analysis of Data	171
5.3.9	Interpretation of Results	171
5.3.10	Interventions and Follow-up Activities	172
5.4	Case Study 1 (Pre-test Study): Leveraging Organizational Expertise	173
5.4.1	Social Network Analysis as a Method for Identification of Expertise and Knowledge Transfer	173
5.4.2	Basic conditions	174
5.4.3	Method	175
5.4.4	Results	176
5.4.5	Lessons Learned	186
5.5	Case Study 2 (Evaluation Study): Entrepreneurial Network Evolution	188
5.5.1	Social Network Analysis for Evaluation and Support of Entrepreneurial Networking	188

5.5.2	Subjective Relevance of Knowledge Exchange for Entrepreneurial Action . . .	189
5.5.3	Knowledge Network Characteristics and Central Actors . . . . .	190
5.5.4	Network Evolution . . . . .	203
5.6	Case Study 3 (Application Study): Inter-organizational Knowledge Community Building	210
5.6.1	Background . . . . .	210
5.6.2	Social Network Analysis as a Method for the Evaluation and Support of Inter-organizational Knowledge Community Building . . . . .	210
5.6.3	Subjective Relevance of Knowledge Sharing . . . . .	211
5.6.4	Communication Media Use . . . . .	214
5.6.5	Communication Network Characteristics and Central Actors . . . . .	217
5.6.6	Domain-related Knowledge Networks and their Characteristics . . . . .	222
5.6.7	Evolution of the KM Community Building Process . . . . .	226
5.7	Leveraging Knowledge Communication Networks – Approaches to Interpretations and Interventions . . . . .	227
5.7.1	Whole-Network Properties and Knowledge Communication . . . . .	227
5.7.2	Knowledge Communication and Impact of Network Structures . . . . .	228
5.7.3	Roles and Positional Models of Knowledge Communication Networks . . . . .	230
5.7.4	Measuring the Boundary-spanning Character of Inter-Organizational Networks . . . . .	234
5.7.5	Examples of Interventions for Leveraging Knowledge Communication in Social Networks . . . . .	235
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion and Outlook</b>	<b>241</b>
6.1	Synopsis . . . . .	241
6.2	Research Contribution . . . . .	244
6.3	Further Research . . . . .	246
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>249</b>

## List of Tables

2.1	Four Aspects of Information	16
2.2	Knowledge Management Matrix	53
2.3	Knowledge Networks Reference Model	62
3.1	Types of Network-Like Structures: A Snapshot	88
3.2	Basic Network Definitions	101
4.1	Basic Characteristics of R & D Management Generations	119
4.2	Innovation Phases and Key Communication Domains	122
4.3	Network Levels and Knowledge Management Processes	126
5.1	Adjacency Matrix of Social Relationships	149
5.2	Case Study 2: Descriptive Statistics of Subjective Relevance of Knowledge Exchange and Networking	191
5.3	Case Study 2: Descriptive Statistics of Subjective Relevance of Domain-related Knowledge Exchange and Networking	191
5.4	Case Study 2: Correlations of Domain-related Relevance of Knowledge Exchange	194
5.5	Case Study 2: Correlations of Dimension-related Relevance of Knowledge Exchange	195
5.6	Case Study 2: Entrepreneurial Activity and Relevance of Networking	196
5.7	Case Study 2: Reciprocity	198
5.8	Case Study 2: Actor Degree Centrality	198
5.9	Case Study 2: Domain-related Networks: Density and Centralization	200
5.10	Case Study 2: Blocks of the Knowledge Exchange Network for Project and Customer Acquisition	201
5.11	Case Study 2: Domain-related Actor Centralities	201
5.12	Case Study 2: Domain-related Central Actors	202
5.13	Case Study 2: Comparison between All Actors and Central Actors 1	204
5.14	Case Study 2: Comparison between All Actors and Central Actors 2	204
5.15	Case Study 2: Descriptive Statistics All vs. Central Actors	205
5.16	Case Study 2: Central Actors in t2	208
5.17	Case Study 2: Central Actors in t1&t2 and All Actors	208
5.18	Case Study 3: Descriptive Statistics of Relevance of the KM Community	212
5.19	Case Study 3: Descriptive Statistics of Relevance of Co-operation and Information Exchange	213
5.20	Case Study 3: Correlations Relevance of Knowledge Exchange	215
5.21	Case Study 3: Descriptive Statistics of Communication Media Use	216
5.22	Case Study 3: Correlations Frequency and Relevance of Contacts	218
5.23	Case Study 3: Centralization and Density of the Communication Network	219
5.24	Case Study 3: Communication Network E-I Index	220
5.25	Case Study 3: Communication Network Blocks According to Frequencies	221
5.26	Case Study 3: Blocks of Organizational Sub-groups	221
5.27	Case Study 3: Centrality and Density in Domain-related Networks	223

---

5.28 Case Study 3: Members of Main Components in Domain-related Networks . . . . .	223
5.29 Case Study 3: k-cores and Cut-points in Domain-related Networks in t2 . . . . .	225
5.30 Case Study 3: Central Actors within Domain-related Networks in t2 . . . . .	225
5.31 Case Study 3: E-I Index of Domain-related Networks in t2 . . . . .	225
5.32 Roles, Network Positions and Popularity . . . . .	234
5.33 Aims, Examples and Solutions for IT-based and Social Interventions . . . . .	237

# List of Figures

1.1	Overview Methodical Steps . . . . .	3
1.2	Overview Conceptual Structure . . . . .	8
2.1	The Knowledge Ladder . . . . .	17
2.2	Expert Survey: Recent Advances of Knowledge Management . . . . .	43
2.3	Expert Survey: Challenges of Knowledge Management . . . . .	46
2.4	Expert Survey: Methods, Measures, and Instruments in Knowledge Management . . . . .	48
2.5	Knowledge Life Cycle . . . . .	51
2.6	Framework of Knowledge Communication . . . . .	59
3.1	Expert Survey: Characteristics of Knowledge Communities . . . . .	72
3.2	Expert Survey: Role of Knowledge Communities . . . . .	73
3.3	Expert Survey: Role of Knowledge Communities in R & D . . . . .	74
3.4	Expert Survey: Communities versus Knowledge Networks . . . . .	91
3.5	Expert Survey: Communities of Practice versus Knowledge Communities . . . . .	92
4.1	Structures of the Technological Design Process . . . . .	105
4.2	Expert Survey: Knowledge Management in R & D . . . . .	110
4.3	Expert Survey: Knowledge Management Methods in R & D . . . . .	111
4.4	Expert Survey: Key People in R & D . . . . .	112
5.1	A Graph of Social Relationships . . . . .	148
5.2	Basic Network Structures . . . . .	151
5.3	Hubs . . . . .	152
5.4	Case Study 1: Matching Method for Expert Identification . . . . .	175
5.5	Case Study 1: Seniority Distribution . . . . .	177
5.6	Case Study 1: Self-assessment of Expertise . . . . .	178
5.7	Case Study 1: Barriers . . . . .	179
5.8	Case Study 1: Experts Project Acquisition . . . . .	180
5.9	Case Study 1: Contact Persons Project Acquisition . . . . .	180
5.10	Case Study 1: Experts Projects . . . . .	181
5.11	Case Study 1: Contact Persons Projects . . . . .	182
5.12	Case Study 1: Experts Partners . . . . .	182
5.13	Case Study 1: Contact Persons Partners . . . . .	183
5.14	Case Study 1: Experts Topics . . . . .	183
5.15	Case Study 1: Contact Persons Topics . . . . .	184
5.16	Case Study 1: Experts External Key Persons . . . . .	184
5.17	Case Study 1: Contact Persons External Key Persons . . . . .	184
5.18	Case Study 2: General, Project-specific and Expected Relevance of Networks . . . . .	192
5.19	Case Study 2: Relevance of Domain-related Networks . . . . .	192
5.20	Case Study 2: Detailed Relevance of Domain-related Networks . . . . .	193
5.21	Case Study 2: Simple Network in Circle View . . . . .	199

---

5.22	Case Study 2: Multiplex Domain-related Network in Circle View . . . . .	199
5.23	Case Study 2: Domain-related Networks in Circle View . . . . .	200
5.24	Case Study 2: Multiplexity of the Core Network . . . . .	203
5.25	Case Study 2: Actual Relevance of Knowledge Exchange . . . . .	205
5.26	Case Study 2: Communication Network in t2 . . . . .	207
5.27	Case Study 2: Communication Network in t2 with Different Strengths . . . . .	207
5.28	Case Study 3: Relevance of the KM Community . . . . .	212
5.29	Case Study 3: Relevance of Co-operation and Information Exchange . . . . .	213
5.30	Case Study 3: KM Community Use of Communication Media . . . . .	216
5.31	Case Study 3: KM Community Frequencies of Media Use . . . . .	217
5.32	Case Study 3: KM Community Frequencies and Relevance of Contacts . . . . .	218
5.33	Case Study 3: Communication Networks in t1 and t2 . . . . .	219
5.34	Case Study 3: Frequencies of Internal and External Communications . . . . .	220
5.35	Case Study 3: Collapsed Communication Network in t2 . . . . .	222
5.36	Case Study 3: Collapsed Multiplex Domain-related Network in t2 . . . . .	224
5.37	Formal Versus Expert Structure in a Research Organization . . . . .	230
5.38	“Silo” and “Spaghetti” Organization . . . . .	235
5.39	Example of a Network Structure for Expert Knowledge Communication . . . . .	238